arXiv:1411.4087v2 [math.RT] 19 Apr 2015

IRREDUCIBLE MODULES FOR THE LIE ALGEBRA OF DIVERGENCE ZERO VECTOR FIELDS ON A TORUS

JOHN TALBOOM

Abstract. This paper investigates the irreducibility of certain representations for the Lie algebra of divergence zero vector fields on a torus. In [2] Rao constructs modules for the Lie algebra of polynomial vector fields on an N-dimensional torus, and determines the conditions for irreducibility. The current paper considers the restriction of these modules to the subalgebra of divergence zero vector fields. It is shown here that Rao's results transfer to similar irreducibility conditions for the Lie algebra of divergence zero vector fields.

Key Words: Lie algebra of vector fields; weight module; irreducible representations

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B10, 17B66

1. Introduction

This paper investigates the irreducibility of tensor modules for the Lie algebra of divergence zero vector fields on a torus. The Lie algebra of derivations of $A = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_N^{\pm 1}]$, denoted by $\mathcal{D}$, may be interpreted as the Lie algebra of polynomial vector fields on an N-dimensional torus (see Section 2). In [2] Rao determines the conditions for irreducibility of tensor modules for $\mathcal{D}$. This originates from analogous results established earlier by Rudakov in [4] for the Lie algebra of vector fields on an affine space. Tensor modules were also studies by Shen in [5].

Let $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ be the Lie algebra of $N \times N$ matrices with complex entries, and $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ its subalgebra of trace zero matrices. Then $\mathfrak{gl}_N = \mathfrak{sl}_N \oplus \mathbb{C}I$ where I is the identity matrix. An irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-module can be constructed by taking $V(\lambda)$, the unique finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-module of highest weight λ, and specifying that I, since it is central, acts by complex number b. Denote this $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-module by $V(\lambda, b)$. The tensor product $V(\lambda, b) \otimes A$ becomes a module for a specified action of $\mathcal{D}$. Rao shows that these modules are irreducible unless $(\lambda, b) = (\omega_k, k)$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$, where ω_k is a fundamental dominant weight (see Section 3), or if λ is zero. In these special cases irreducible quotients and submodules are determined.

The current paper is motivated by the results of [2], and considers the restriction of these tensor modules to the subalgebra of divergence zero vector fields, denoted $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$. It will be shown in section 2 that the restriction to divergence zero vector fields results in limiting the action to only the $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ part of the modules. Thus the $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$-modules considered here are of the form $V(\lambda) \otimes A$. A streamlined proof of Rao's result was given in [3] and the current paper has drawn inspiration from these ideas and applied them here. The result, given in Theorem 5.3, mirrors that of Rao's and it is shown that these $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$-modules are irreducible if $\lambda \neq \omega_k, 0$.

To understand the cases when $\lambda = \omega_k, 0$, the corresponding tensor modules can be realized as the modules of differential k-forms on a torus. The tensor modules

$V(\omega_k) \otimes A$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ are isomorphic to Ω^k, the module (over Laurent polynomials) of differential k-forms on a torus. The modules of functions Ω^0, and N-forms Ω^N, correspond to tensor modules $V(0) \otimes A$, where $V(0)$ is a one-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-module. It is useful to consider the de Rham complex of differential forms,

$$\Omega^0 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^N$$

(where d is the exterior derivative) since d yields a homomorphism between tensor modules. Thus kernels and images of d are submodules of the Ω^k and these will be used to determine submodules of the $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$-modules.

In Section 2 the Lie algebra $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$ will be presented, as well as the notation for its homogeneous elements, and a spanning set. The above-mentioned $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$-modules are defined in Section 3 and classified as being minuscule or non minuscule. Two important properties of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$-modules are given in this section pertaining to the size of these modules and the action of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$. In Section 4 it is seen that highest weight vectors may always be obtained in modules of non minuscule type. The final section contains the main result, and here it is proven that non minuscule $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$-modules are irreducible, while minuscule modules have irreducible submodules and quotients.

2. Preliminaries

Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the column vector space $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ with standard basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{N+1}\}$. Let $(\cdot|\cdot)$ be symmetric bilinear form $(u|v) = u^T v \in \mathbb{C}$, where $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ and u^T denotes matrix transpose.

Let $A = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_{N+1}^{\pm 1}]$ be the Laurent polynomials over $\mathbb{C}$. Elements of A will be presented with the multi-index notation $t^r = t_1^{r_1} \dots t_{N+1}^{r_{N+1}}$ for $r = (r_1, \dots, r_{N+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. Also, for $i \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$, let $d_i = t_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}$. The vector space of all of derivations of A, $\text{Der}(A)$, forms a Lie algebra called the Witt algebra denoted here by $\mathcal{D}$. Note that $\text{Der}(A) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ t^r d_i | i \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1} \right\}$. Homogeneous (in the power of t) elements of $\mathcal{D}$ will be denoted $D(u, r) = \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} u_i t^r d_i$ for any $u \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. Its Lie bracket is given by

$$[D(u, r), D(v, s)] = D\left((u|s)v - (v|r)u, r + s\right)$$

for $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$.

Geometrically, $\mathcal{D}$ may be interpreted as the Lie algebra of (complex-valued) polynomial vector fields on an $N+1$-dimensional torus, via the mapping $t_j = e^{ix_j}$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$, where x_j represents the jth angular coordinate. This has an interesting subalgebra, the Lie algebra of divergence-zero vector fields, and the corresponding subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $u \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. Then $D(u, r) \in \mathcal{D}_{div}$ if and only if $(u|r) = 0$.*

Proof. Note that under the mapping $t_j = e^{ix_j}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial t_j}{\partial x_j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} = i t_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} = i d_j$, so that $v = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} f_j(t) d_j \in \mathcal{D}$ can be written $v = -i \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} f_j(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$. The divergence of v with respect to the natural volume form in angular coordinates is then $-i \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} t_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial t_j}$. Thus $\text{div} D(u, r) = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} u_j r_j t^r = 0$ if and only if $(u|r) = 0$. □

This proposition uses elements of $\mathcal{D}$ which are homogeneous in t, and by linear independence of the powers of t it may be applied to the general case. An element

of $\mathcal{D}$ is in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$ if and only if its homogeneous components are in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$. It follows that $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{d_a, r_b t^r d_a - r_a t^r d_b | a, b \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}\right\}$.

Note that for $D(u,r), D(v,s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$, $((u|s)v - (v|r)u|r+s) = 0$, demonstrating that $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$ is closed under the bracket of $\mathcal{D}$. $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$ has Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{d_j | j \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}\} = \{D(u,0) | u \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}\}$.

3. $\mathcal{D}_{\text{DIV}}$-Modules

Let $\mathfrak{sl}_{N+1}$ be the Lie algebra of all $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ traceless matrices with entries in $\mathbb{C}$. Fix a root system Φ, of type A_N, with positive roots Φ^+ and simple roots $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\}$.

Let $V(\lambda)$ be a finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_{N+1}$-module of highest weight $\lambda = C_1\omega_1 + \cdots + C_N\omega_N$, where $\langle \omega_i, \alpha_j \rangle \equiv \frac{2(\omega_i|\alpha_j)}{(\alpha_j|\alpha_j)} = \delta_{ij}$ and $C_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Any weight γ of $V(\lambda)$ can be written $\gamma = \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_i \alpha_i$ where the γ_i's are non-negative integers. For convenience assign to each weight a *label*, defined as the N-tuple $(\langle \gamma, \alpha_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \gamma, \alpha_N \rangle)$. Thus λ has label $(C_1, \dots, C_N)$. Note that here a weight of $V(\lambda)$, means only those assigned to a nonzero weight space.

Let γ be a weight in $V(\lambda)$ and $\alpha \in \Phi$ (not necessarily simple). Then the set of weights of the form $\gamma + i\alpha$, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, is called the *α-string through γ*. let $r, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be the largest integers such that $\gamma - r\alpha$ and $\gamma + q\alpha$ are weights of $V(\lambda)$. Then $\gamma + i\alpha$ is a weight for all $-r \leq i \leq q$; i.e. the α-string through γ is unbroken from $\gamma - r\alpha$ to $\gamma + q\alpha$. Furthermore it can be seen that $\langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle = r - q$. These unbroken sets of weights will be referred to as *weight strings*, and the number of weights in this set its *length*. In this particular case the weight string is in the α direction.

The Weyl group for A_N, is isomorphic to S_{N+1}, the symmetric group on $N+1$ symbols, and so elements of the Weyl group will be denoted with cycle notation. The reflection through simple root α_i is the transposition $(i, i+1)$, and the reflection through a root of the form $\alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{i+j}$ is the transposition $(i, i+j+1)$, for $1 \leq i < i+j \leq N$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let λ_ℓ denote the lowest weight of $V(\lambda)$. Write $\lambda_\ell = \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \kappa_i \alpha_i$, where $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then for $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{N+1}{2} \rfloor$,*

$$\kappa_j = \kappa_{N+1-j} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i + \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} C_i + \cdots + \sum_{i=j}^{N+1-j} C_i$$

Proof. Consider the Weyl group element

$$w = \prod_{p=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} (1+p, N+1-p).$$

Then $w(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_{N+1-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$ and it follows that w maps the highest weight of the $\mathfrak{sl}_{N+1}$-module to its lowest weight. The above transpositions are disjoint and hence commute. Let

$$\lambda_j = \left(\prod_{p=0}^{j-1} (1+p, N+1-p) \right) (\lambda)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor + 1$ and $\lambda_0 = \lambda$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 &= (1, N+1)(\lambda) \\ &= \lambda_0 - \langle \lambda_0, \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_N \rangle (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_N) \\ &= \lambda_0 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i \right) (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_N).\end{aligned}$$

Assume $\lambda_j = \lambda_{j-1} - \sum_{i=j}^{N+1-j} C_i(\alpha_j + \cdots + \alpha_{N+1-j})$ for some $j \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{j+1} &= (1+j, N+1-j)(\lambda_j) \\ &= \lambda_j - \langle \lambda_j, \alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j} \rangle (\alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j}) \\ &= \lambda_j - \left\langle \lambda - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i \right) (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_N) - \left(\sum_{i=2}^{N-1} C_i \right) (\alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{N-1}) \right. \\ &\quad - \cdots \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\sum_{i=j}^{N-j} C_i \right) (\alpha_j + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j}), \alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j} \right\rangle (\alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j}) \\ &= \lambda_j - \langle \lambda, \alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j} \rangle (\alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j}) \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i \right) \langle \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_N, \alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j} \rangle (\alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j}) \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{i=2}^{N-1} C_i \right) \langle \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{N-1}, \alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j} \rangle (\alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j}) \\ &\quad - \cdots \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{i=j}^{N-j} C_i \right) \langle \alpha_j + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j}, \alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j} \rangle (\alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j}) \\ &= \lambda_j - \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{N-j} C_i \right) (\alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{N-j}),\end{aligned}$$

since the angled bracket evaluates to zero in all but the second term. Then by induction

$$w(\lambda) = \lambda_{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor + 1} = \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor + 1} \sum_{j=i}^{N+1-i} C_j \sum_{k=i}^{N+1-i} \alpha_k$$

with the final term being zero if N even. Since $w(\lambda) = \lambda_\ell$, extracting coefficients of the α_i yields the result. □

Denote $F^\sigma(\lambda) = V(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}[q_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, q_{N+1}^{\pm 1}]$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Homogeneous elements of $F^\sigma(\lambda)$ will be denoted $v(n) = v \otimes q^n$ for $v \in V(\lambda), n \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. Then $F^\sigma(\lambda)$ becomes a module for $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$ via the action

$$D(u, r).v(n) = (u|n + \sigma)v(n + r) + (ru^T)v(n + r),$$

for $D(u, r) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$. Note that ru^T is an $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrix with trace zero, as $(u|r) = 0$ by assumption, and so the second term involves the $\mathfrak{sl}_{N+1}$ module action.

Elements of $\mathfrak{sl}_{N+1}$ are denoted in the usual way, where E_{ij} is the matrix with a 1 in the (i,j) position and zeros elsewhere.

Modules $V(\lambda)$ and $F^\sigma(\lambda)$ will be called *minuscule* if $\lambda = \omega_i$ or $\lambda = 0$ for $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$ (see [1] 2.11.15). Write $F^\sigma(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^{N+1}} V(\lambda)\otimes q^n$ and let $v(n) \in V(\lambda)\otimes q^n$. Then $D(e_i,0).v(n) = (n_i+\sigma_i)v(n)$ for all $i \in \{1,\dots,N+1\}$, so that the subspace $V(\lambda)\otimes q^n$ is homogeneous in q and thus $F^\sigma(\lambda)$ is a graded module with respect to the action of $\mathcal{H}$. Any submodule M of $F^\sigma(\lambda)$ will inherit this gradation and so $M = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^{N+1}}(V(\lambda)\otimes q^n)\cap M$. It follows that M contains elements of the form $v(n)$ (homogeneous elements).

Proposition 3.2. *Let M be a submodule of $F^\sigma(\lambda)$. If $v(n) \in M$ then $(E_{ij}^k v)(n) \in M$ for any integer $k \geq 2$ and any $i \neq j \in \{1,\dots,N+1\}$.*

Proof. Note that $D(e_j, re_i) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, as $(e_j|e_i) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Fix an integer $k \geq 2$, and choose $r_1,\dots,r_k \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$ such that $\sum_{p=1}^k r_p = 0$. From the module action given above, $D(e_j,re_i).v(n) = ((e_j|n+\sigma) + rE_{ij})\, v(n+re_i)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} D(e_i,r_1e_j)\dots D(e_i,r_ke_j).v(n) &= (K_0 + r_1E_{ij})\dots(K_0+r_kE_{ij})v\left(n+\sum_{p=1}^k r_p\right) \\ &= \left(K_0^k + K_1E_{ij} + K_2E_{ij}^2 + \dots + K_kE_{ij}^k\right) v(n), \end{aligned}$$

where $K_0 = (e_j|n+\sigma)$, $K_1 = K_0^{k-1}\sum_{p=1}^k r_p = 0$, and K_k is nonzero. For the case $k=2$, take $r_1 = 1, r_2 = -1$. Then $D(e_j,e_i).D(e_j,-e_i).v(n) = K_0^2v(n) - E_{ij}^2v(n) \in M$, and hence $E_{ij}^2v(n) \in M$. The result follows by induction on k. □

4. Existence of Weight Vectors

Recall that the root system of type $\mathfrak{sl}_{N+1}$ has highest root $\theta = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N$. A weight string of maximal length in the θ direction will be called a *maximal θ-string.*

Proposition 4.1. *A θ-string through λ is a maximal θ-string, and the highest weight of any maximal θ-string has the form $\lambda - \sum_{i=2}^{N-1}\gamma_i\alpha_i$ for some non-negative integers γ_i.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ be the θ-string through λ. Since λ is the highest weight of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ the lowest weight of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ is $\lambda - \langle\lambda,\theta\rangle\theta = \lambda - \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i\right)\theta$, and thus $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ has length $1 + \sum_{i=1}^N C_i$. Let $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$ be the θ-string through some other weight γ, where γ is the highest weight of $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$ and $\gamma = \lambda - \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_j\alpha_j$ for some non-negative integers γ_j.

Suppose $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$ has length greater than that of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$, say $r + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N C_i$ for some positive integer r. Then the lowest weight of $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$ is $\gamma - \left(r + \sum_{i=1}^N C_i\right)\theta = \lambda - \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_j\alpha_j\right) - \left(r+\sum_{i=1}^N C_i\right)\theta = \lambda - \sum_{j=1}^N\left(\gamma_j + r + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i\right)\right)\alpha_j$. This contradicts Lemma 3.1 since a multiple of α_1 (or α_N) greater than $\sum_{i=1}^N C_i$ cannot be subtracted from λ; i.e. no such weight exists. Thus $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$ cannot have a length greater than that of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$, so $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ has maximal length.

Suppose now that $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$ has the same (maximal) length as $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$. Then the lowest weight of $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$ is $\lambda - \sum_{j=1}^N\left(\gamma_j + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i\right)\right)\alpha_j$. This contradicts Lemma 3.1 in the same way as above unless both γ_1 and γ_N are zero. □

Corollary 4.2. *Irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_3$-modules have exactly one maximal θ-string.*

Proof. For the $N = 2$ case, λ is the only weight meeting the criteria of Proposition 4.1 forcing the only maximal θ-string to be the one through λ. □

Corollary 4.3. *In a non minuscule module the length of any maximal θ-string is at least 3.*

Proof. As seen in the proof of Proposition 4.1, the length of the θ-string through λ is $1 + \langle \lambda, \theta \rangle = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i$. For a non minuscule module $\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i \geq 2$. □

Remark 4.4. *Let $V(\lambda)$ be a non minuscule module and $N \geq 2$. The label assigned to λ, $(C_1, \ldots, C_N)$, falls into at least one of the following three cases:*

(i) *$(C_1, \ldots, C_{N-1})$ is a highest weight label for a non minuscule $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-module,*
(ii) *$(C_2, \ldots, C_N)$ is a highest weight label for a non minuscule $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-module, or*
(iii) *$C_1 = C_N = 1$ and $C_2 = \cdots = C_{N-1} = 0$.*

Applying Lemma 3.1 to case (iii) shows that $\lambda_\ell = \lambda - 2\theta$ and hence it is the lowest weight for the maximal θ-string through λ. Suppose $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$ is a maximal θ-string through the weight $\gamma = \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i \alpha_i$ for $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$ has lowest weight $\gamma - 2\theta = \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i \alpha_i - 2\theta$. This forces each γ_i to be zero, and thus $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ is the only maximal θ-string.

Let M be a nonzero submodule of $F^\sigma(\lambda)$. The goal for the remainder of this section is to show that if $F^\sigma(\lambda)$ is non minuscule then $v_\lambda(n) \in M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$ where v_λ is a highest weight vector in $V(\lambda)$. Once $v_\lambda(n)$ is obtained it will be used in the next section to generate $V(\lambda) \otimes q^n$ for each n, thus generating all of $F^\sigma(\lambda)$.

Proposition 4.5. *M contains a vector $v(n)$, for some n, such that v has a nonzero component in V_λ, the highest weight space of $V(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $v(m) \in M$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$, and $v \in V(\lambda)$. Let $v = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} v_\gamma$ be the decomposition of v into weight vectors where Λ is the set of weights of $V(\lambda)$. If $v_\lambda \neq 0$ there is nothing to prove, so suppose $v_\lambda = 0$. From the definition of the highest weight it follows that there is some μ in Λ such that $E_{ij} v_\mu \in V_{\mu'} \neq 0$ and $v_{\mu'} = 0$ in the above decomposition, for some $i < j \in \{1, \ldots, N+1\}$. Applying $D(e_j, e_i)$ to $v(m)$ yields $(e_j | m + \sigma) v(m + e_i) + E_{ij} v(m + e_i) = v'(m + e_i)$, where v' has a nonzero component in $V_{\mu'}$. If $\mu' \neq \lambda$ this process may be repeated by choosing another (i, j)-pair in the same way, until the highest weight space has been reached. □

Proposition 4.6. *Let $V(\lambda)$ be non minuscule and suppose for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$ that $v(n) \in M$ has nonzero component in V_λ. Then $v_\lambda(n) \in M$, where v_λ is a highest weight vector of $V(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Suppose for some $v(n) \in M$ that v has a nonzero component v_λ which lies in the highest weight space of $V(\lambda)$. Such a vector exists by Proposition 4.5. Then $v = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} v_\gamma$, where Λ is a set of weights of $V(\lambda)$ and $v_\lambda \neq 0$. By Proposition 4.1 there is a maximal θ-string of length $\langle \lambda, \theta \rangle + 1 \geq 3$ which has highest weight λ. Note that $E_{N+1,1}$ maps V_μ into $V_{\mu - \theta}$ for any weight μ of $V(\lambda)$. The goal in what follows is annihilate those components different from v_λ. This will be accomplished by moving v back and forth along the θ-strings.

In the case $N = 1$, an irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module is a single θ-string. For $N = 2$, Corollary 4.2 says that irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_3$-modules have only one maximal θ-string. In

both cases these strings necessarily have highest weight v_λ. By Proposition 3.2, $E_{N+1,1}^{\langle\lambda,\theta\rangle}v(n) \in M$ where $E_{N+1,1}^{\langle\lambda,\theta\rangle}v \in V_{\lambda-\langle\lambda,\theta\rangle\theta}$. All $v_\gamma \neq v_\lambda$ are annihilated because their weights either do not lie in the unique maximal θ-string, or if they do, they are of the form $\lambda - i\theta$ for $i \geq 1$. In either case $E_{N+1,1}^{\langle\lambda,\theta\rangle}(v_\gamma) = 0$, for $\gamma \neq \lambda$, due to maximal length. Applying $E_{1,N+1}^{\langle\lambda,\theta\rangle}$ to $E_{N+1,1}^{\langle\lambda,\theta\rangle}v(n)$ then yields a scalar multiple of $v_\lambda(n)$. Now proceed by induction on N with base case $N = 2$.

Assume now $N \geq 3$ and $v(n) \in M$ where $v = \sum_{\gamma\in\Lambda} v_\gamma$. Applying Proposition 3.2 yields $E_{N+1,1}^{\langle\lambda,\theta\rangle}v(n) = \sum_{\mu\in\Lambda_*} w_\mu(n) \in M$ where each $\mu \in \Lambda_*$ is given by $\mu = \gamma - \langle\lambda,\theta\rangle\theta$ for some $\gamma \in \Lambda$. By maximality of the length of a maximal θ-string, any $\mu \in \Lambda_*$ is a lowest weight in the θ-string which it belongs; i.e. the only $\gamma \in \Lambda$ for which $E_{N+1,1}^{\langle\lambda,\theta\rangle}v_\gamma \neq 0$ are those which are the highest weight of their maximal θ-string. In particular $\lambda - \langle\lambda,\theta\rangle\theta \in \Lambda_*$. Then $v'(n) = E_{1,N+1}^{\langle\lambda,\theta\rangle}\left(\sum_{\mu\in\Lambda_*} w_\mu(n)\right) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Lambda^*} v'_\gamma(n) \in M$ where $\lambda \in \Lambda^*$ with $v'_\lambda \neq 0$ and $\Lambda^* \subset \Lambda$ are weights which occur as the highest weight in their respective maximal θ-string.

Let Λ_1 be the set of all weights in $V(\lambda)$ of the form $\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} a_i\alpha_i$, and Λ_N be the set of all weights in $V(\lambda)$ of the form $\lambda - \sum_{i=2}^{N} b_i\alpha_i$, where $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $V(\lambda)_1$ and $V(\lambda)_N$ be the span of all weight vectors with weights in Λ_1 and Λ_N respectively. Then $V(\lambda)_1$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-module, for the copy of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ generated by $\{E_{ij}|1 \leq i,j \leq N, i \neq j\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_{N+1}$, with highest weight label $(C_1,\ldots,C_{N-1})$. Similarly $V(\lambda)_N$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-module, for the copy of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ generated by $\{E_{ij}|2 \leq i,j \leq N+1, i \neq j\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_{N+1}$, and has highest weight label $(C_2,\ldots,C_N)$. Modules $V(\lambda)_1$ and $V(\lambda)_N$ are irreducible since any critical vector they contain is also a critical vector for $\mathfrak{sl}_{N+1}$ in $V(\lambda)$ which is irreducible by assumption.

By Proposition 4.1 Λ^* is a subset of both Λ_1 and Λ_N. By remark 4.4, either $V(\Lambda)_1$ or $V(\lambda)_N$ is non minuscule, or there is only one maximal θ-string. In the latter Λ^* is empty and so $v'(n)$ is a scalar multiple of $v_\lambda(n)$. Otherwise v' lies in a non minuscule $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-module W (either $V(\Lambda)_1$ or $V(\lambda)_N$) and has nonzero component in V_λ. By induction $v_\lambda(n) \in W$ and thus $v_\lambda(n) \in M$. □

Lemma 4.7. *If $v_\lambda(n) \in M$ then $v_\lambda(n + re_1) \in M$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $v_\lambda(n) \in M$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. This result is clearly true for $r = 0$, so suppose $r \neq 0$. Then

$$D(e_{N+1}, re_1).v_\lambda(n) = (e_{N+1}|n+\sigma)v_\lambda(n + re_1) + rE_{1,N+1}v_\lambda(n + re_1),$$

where the second term is zero as v_λ is a highest weight vector, and if $(e_{N+1}|n+\sigma) \neq 0$ then $v_\lambda(n+re_1) \in M$. Suppose $(e_{N+1}|n+\sigma) = 0$. By proposition 3.2 $E_{N+1,1}^2 v_\lambda(n) \in M$. This is a weight vector whose weight lies on the maximal θ-string through λ and is nonzero since the string has length at least 3. So

$$\begin{aligned} &D(e_{N+1}, -re_1).E_{N+1,1}^2 v_\lambda(n) \\ &\qquad = (e_{N+1}|n+\sigma)E_{N+1,1}^2 v_\lambda(n - re_1) - rE_{1,N+1}E_{N+1,1}^2 v_\lambda(n - re_1). \end{aligned}$$

The first term has coefficient zero and the second is a scalar multiple of $E_{N+1,1}v_\lambda(n - re_1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &D(e_{N+1}, 2re_1).E_{N+1,1}v_\lambda(n - re_1) \\ &\quad = (e_{N+1}|n - re_1 + \sigma)E_{N+1,1}v_\lambda(n + re_1) + 2rE_{1,N+1}E_{N+1,1}v_\lambda(n + re_1) \end{aligned}$$

Again the first term is zero and the second term is a nonzero scalar multiple of $v_\lambda(n+re_1)$. Thus $v_\lambda(n+re_1) \in M$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

Proposition 4.8. *$v_\lambda(m) \in M$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$.*

Proof. By Propositions 4.5 and 4.6 there exists of $v_\lambda(n) \in M$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. Using Lemma 4.7 assume that $(e_1|n+\sigma) \neq 0$. Then for any $r_{N+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} &D(e_1, r_{N+1}e_{N+1}).v_\lambda(n) \\ &\qquad = (e_1|n+\sigma)v_\lambda(n+r_{N+1}e_{N+1}) + r_{N+1}E_{N+1,1}v_\lambda(n+r_{N+1}e_{N+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the first term above is nonzero it follows from Proposition 4.6 that $v_\lambda(n+r_{N+1}e_{N+1}) \in M$. Thus $v_\lambda(n+r_{N+1}e_{N+1}) \in M$ for all $r_{N+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $r = \sum_{i=1}^N r_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &D(e_{N+1}, r).v_\lambda(n+r_{N+1}e_{N+1}) \\ &= (e_{N+1}|n+r_{N+1}e_{N+1}+\sigma)v_\lambda(n+r+r_{N+1}e_{N+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N r_i E_{i,N+1}v_\lambda(n+r+r_{N+1}e_{N+1}), \end{aligned}$$

with the second term being zero by the highest weight property of v_λ. When $(e_{N+1}|n+r_{N+1}e_{N+1}+\sigma) \neq 0$ it follows by the arbitrary choice of r that $v_\lambda(n+r+r_{N+1}e_{N+1}) \in M$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N \times \{0\}$.

Suppose there exists $r_{N+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(e_{N+1}|n+r_{N+1}e_{N+1}+\sigma) = 0$, say when $r_{N+1} = r_{N+1}^* \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $r = \sum_{i=1}^N r_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$ and $\bar{r}_{N+1} \neq r_{N+1}^*$, the above shows that $v_\lambda(n+r+\bar{r}_{N+1}) \in M$. By Lemma 4.7 $v_\lambda(n+ae_1+\sum_{i=2}^N r_i e_i + \bar{r}_{N+1}e_{N+1}) \in M$ where $(e_1|n+ae_1+\sum_{i=2}^N r_i e_i + \bar{r}_{N+1}e_{N+1}+\sigma) \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &D(e_1, (r_{N+1}^* - \bar{r}_{N+1})e_{N+1}).v_\lambda(n+ae_1+\sum_{i=2}^N r_i e_i + \bar{r}_{N+1}e_{N+1}) \\ &\quad = (e_1|n+ae_1+\sum_{i=2}^N r_i e_i + \bar{r}_{N+1}e_{N+1}+\sigma)v_\lambda(n+ae_1+\sum_{i=2}^N r_i e_i + r_{N+1}^* e_{N+1}) \end{aligned}$$

and so $v_\lambda(n+ae_1+\sum_{i=2}^N r_i e_i + r_{N+1}^* e_{N+1}) \in M$. Apply Lemma 4.7 again to get that $v_\lambda(n+r+r_{N+1}^* e_{N+1}) \in M$. This along with the previous paragraph shows that $v_\lambda(m) \in M$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. $\square$

5. Generating the Module

Theorem 5.1. *If $F^\sigma(\lambda)$ is non minuscule then it is irreducible as a $\mathcal{D}_{div}$-module.*

Proof. From the theory of highest weight modules for semisimple Lie algebras, $V(\lambda)$ is spanned by v_λ and the vectors $y_1 \dots y_k v_\lambda$, where, for each $p \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $y_p = E_{ij}$ for some (i,j)-pair with $i > j$. Let M be a nonzero submodule of $F^\sigma(\lambda)$. Proceed by induction on k to show that $y_1 \dots y_k v_\lambda(m) \in M$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. By Proposition 4.8, $v_\lambda(m) \in M$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$, which is the basis for the induction.

Suppose for some $k \geq 0$ that $y_1 \dots y_k v_\lambda(m) \in M$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$ and any $y_1, \dots, y_k$ as above. Then for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$ with $i > j$

$$D(e_j, e_i).y_1 \dots y_k v_\lambda(m) = (e_j|m+\sigma)y_1 \dots y_k v_\lambda(m+e_i) + E_{ij}y_1 \dots y_k v_\lambda(m+e_i).$$

Since $y_1 \dots y_k v_\lambda(m+e_i) \in M$ by the induction hypothesis, $E_{ij} y_1 \dots y_k v_\lambda(m+e_i) \in M$. Because m is arbitrary, it follows that $E_{ij} y_1 \dots y_k v_\lambda(n) \in M$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. Thus $V(\lambda)(m) \subseteq M$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$ and hence $M = F^\sigma(\lambda)$. □

The k-fold wedge product $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})$ is a highest weight $\mathfrak{sl}_{N+1}$-module via the action

$$X(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k) = \sum_{p=1}^{k} v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Xv_p \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_{N+1}$ and $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})$ has highest weight vector $e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k$. It follows from $(E_{ii} - E_{i+1,i+1})(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k) = \delta_{ik}$ that $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})$ has highest weight ω_k and so $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^{N+1}) \cong V(\omega_k)$ for $1 \le k \le N$, and $\bigwedge^0(\mathbb{C}^{N+1}) \cong \bigwedge^{N+1}(\mathbb{C}^{N+1}) \cong V(0)$. For convenience set $\omega_0 = \omega_{N+1} = 0$ so that $V(0) = V(\omega_0) = V(\omega_{N+1})$.

In [2] some $\mathcal{D}$-submodules of $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^{N+1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[q_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, q_{N+1}^{\pm 1}]$ are identified. As $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$-modules they are

$$W_k^\sigma = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}} \left(\mathbb{C}(n+\sigma) \wedge \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbb{C}^{N+1}\right) \otimes q^n,$$

and if $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$ there is a larger submodule

$$\tilde{W}_k^\sigma = W_k^\sigma \oplus \left(\mathbb{C}^{N+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbb{C}^{N+1}\right) \otimes q^{-\sigma}.$$

Let $\tilde{W}_k^\sigma = W_k^\sigma$ in the case $\sigma \notin \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. For $k = 0$ this $\mathcal{D}_{\text{div}}$-module is simply $\mathbb{C}[q_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, q_{N+1}^{\pm 1}]$, and will be denoted $F^\sigma(\omega_0)$. The module action reduces to

$$D(u,r).q^n = (u|n+\sigma) q^{n+r}.$$

If $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$ then $F^\sigma(\omega_0)$ has submodule $\tilde{W}_0^\sigma = \mathbb{C}q^{-\sigma}$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $N \ge 2$ and $1 \le k \le N-1$. If M is a submodule of $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^{N+1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[q_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, q_{N+1}^{\pm 1}]$ which properly contains $\tilde{W}_k^\sigma$, then M contains an element of the form $u(n)$, where u is a weight vector in $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})$, and $n + \sigma \ne 0$.*

Proof. Since M properly contains $\tilde{W}_k^\sigma$, it contains a nonzero vector $v(n) \in F^\sigma(\omega_k) \setminus \tilde{W}_k^\sigma$ where $n + \sigma \ne 0$. Thus $(e_x|n+\sigma) \ne 0$ for some $x \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$ and so $\{n+\sigma, e_1, \dots, e_{x-1}, e_{x+1}, \dots, e_{N+1}\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Write

$$v = \sum_{\substack{p_1,\dots,p_k=1 \\ p_1,\dots,p_k \ne x}}^{N+1} \gamma_{p_1,\dots,p_k} e_{p_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{p_k}$$

(modulo $\tilde{W}_k^\sigma$) and assume that the coefficients satisfy $\gamma_{p_1,\dots,p_k} = \operatorname{sgn}(\pi)\gamma_{\pi(p_1,\dots,p_k)}$ for any permutation π. Since $v \ne 0$, at least one of the above coefficients is nonzero, say $\gamma_{i_1,\dots,i_k}$. Assume indices $i_1, \dots, i_k, x, y$ are distinct. Note that in the right hand side of

$$\begin{aligned} &D(e_x, -e_y).D(e_{i_1}, e_y).v(n) \\ &= (e_x|n+\sigma)(e_{i_1}|n+\sigma)v(n) - (e_{i_1}|n+\sigma)E_{yx}v(n) + (e_x|n+\sigma)E_{yi_1}v(n) - E_{yx}E_{yi_1}v(n), \end{aligned}$$

the first term is in M, while the second and fourth terms are both zero since e_x does not appear in v. Thus the third term, call it $v^{(1)}(n)$, is in M and recall $(e_x|n+\sigma) \neq 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} v^{(1)}(n) &= (e_x|n+\sigma)E_{yi_1}v(n) \\ &= (e_x|n+\sigma)\sum_{\substack{p_2,\dots,p_k=1 \\ p_2,\dots,p_k\neq x}}^{N+1} \gamma_{i_1,p_2,\dots,p_k} e_y \wedge e_{p_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{p_k}(n) \\ &\quad + (e_x|n+\sigma)\sum_{\substack{p_1,p_3,\dots,p_k=1 \\ p_1,p_3,\dots,p_k\neq x}}^{N+1} \gamma_{p_1,i_1,p_3,\dots,p_k} e_{p_1} \wedge e_y \wedge e_{p_3} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{p_k}(n) \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad + (e_x|n+\sigma)\sum_{\substack{p_1,\dots,p_{k-1}=1 \\ p_1,\dots,p_{k-1}\neq x}}^{N+1} \gamma_{p_1,\dots,p_{k-1},i_1} e_{p_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{p_{k-1}} \wedge e_y(n) \end{aligned}$$

Relabelling indices, the above can be written

$$(e_x|n+\sigma)\sum_{\substack{p_2,\dots,p_k=1 \\ p_2,\dots,p_k\neq x}}^{N+1} \Gamma e_y \wedge e_{p_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{p_k}(n),$$

with $\Gamma = \gamma_{i_1,p_2,\dots,p_k} + (-1)\gamma_{p_2,i_1,p_3,\dots,p_k} + \cdots + (-1)^{k-1}\gamma_{p_2,\dots,p_k,i_1}$ which follows from the alternating property of the wedge product. By the assumption on the coefficients, $\gamma_{p_2,\dots,p_s,i_1,p_{s+1},\dots,p_k} = (-1)^s\gamma_{i_1,p_2,\dots,p_k}$ for $2 \leq s \leq k$, and so

$$v^{(1)}(n) = k(e_x|n+\sigma)\sum_{\substack{p_2,\dots,p_k=1 \\ p_2,\dots,p_k\neq x}}^{N+1} \gamma_{i_1,p_2,\dots,p_k} e_y \wedge e_{p_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{p_k}(n).$$

Note that the coefficients in this summation are the same as those from v which have first index equal to i_1. Doing this process again, by applying $D(e_x, -e_{i_1})D(e_{i_2}, e_{i_1})$ to $v^{(1)}(n)$ in the first step, will yield

$$v^{(2)}(n) = k^2(e_x|n+\sigma)^2\sum_{\substack{p_3,\dots,p_k=1 \\ p_3,\dots,p_k\neq x}}^{N+1} \gamma_{i_1,i_2,p_3,\dots,p_k} e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge e_{p_3} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{p_k}(n).$$

Repeating (with suitable choices of indices) another $k-2$ times yields

$$k!(e_x|n+\sigma)^k\gamma_{i_1,\dots,i_k} e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(n)$$

which is a nonzero because both $(e_x|n+\sigma) \neq 0$ and $\gamma_{i_1,\dots,i_k} \neq 0$. The wedge product $e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}$ is a weight vector in $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})$ and so the proof is complete. □

Theorem 5.3. *Let $N \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq N$.*

(a) *If $\lambda \neq 0, \omega_k$ then $F^\sigma(\lambda)$ is irreducible.*
(b) *W_k^σ and $F^\sigma(\omega_k)/\tilde{W}_k^\sigma$ are irreducible and $F^\sigma(\omega_k)/\tilde{W}_k^\sigma \cong W_{k+1}^\sigma$*

Proof. Part (a) follows from Theorem 5.1.

For $0 \le k \le N$, the linear map $\psi_k : F^\sigma(\omega_k) \to F^\sigma(\omega_{k+1})$ defined by

$$v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k(n) \mapsto (n+\sigma) \wedge v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k(n)$$

is a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{div}}$-module homomorphism, where $\ker(\psi_k) = \tilde{W}_k^\sigma$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\psi_k) = W_{k+1}^\sigma$. Thus

$$F^\sigma(\omega_k)/\tilde{W}_k^\sigma \cong W_{k+1}^\sigma.$$

Lemma 5.2 will be used to show that $F^\sigma(\omega_k)/\tilde{W}_k^\sigma$ is irreducible (and hence that W_{k+1}^σ is irreducible) for $1 \le k \le N-1$ with $N \ne 2$. The remaining cases are $F^\sigma(\omega_0)/\tilde{W}_0^\sigma$ and $F^\sigma(\omega_N)/\tilde{W}_N^\sigma$ for any $N \ge 1$. The $F^\sigma(\omega_0)/\tilde{W}_0^\sigma$ case is handled first by showing directly that W_1^σ is irreducible.

Any nonzero submodule M_1 of $W_1^\sigma = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^{N+1}} \mathbb{C}(n+\sigma)\otimes q^n$, contains a homogeneous vector $(n+\sigma)\otimes q^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$ where $(n+\sigma) \ne 0$. Choose e_x so that $(e_x|n+\sigma) \ne 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$ with $(e_x|r) = 0$. Then $D(e_x, r).(n+\sigma)\otimes q^n = (e_x|n+\sigma)(n+r+\sigma)\otimes q^{n+r}$ and so $(n+r+\sigma)\otimes q^{n+r} \in M_1$ for any $r \in \{e_x\}^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. Let $e_j \ne e_x$, and $r_x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &D(e_j - e_x, r_x(e_x + e_j)).(n + r - r_x e_j + \sigma)\otimes q^{n+r-r_x e_j} \\ &\qquad = (e_j - e_x|n + r - r_x e_j + \sigma)(n + r + r_x e_x + \sigma)\otimes q^{n+r+r_x e_x}. \end{aligned}$$

If $(e_j - e_x|n + r - r_x e_j + \sigma) \ne 0$ this implies $(m+\sigma)\otimes q^m \in M_1$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. Otherwise if $(e_j - e_x|n + r - r_x e_j + \sigma) = 0$ then consider instead

$$\begin{aligned} &D(e_j + e_x, r_x(e_x - e_j).(n + r + r_x e_j + \sigma)\otimes q^{n+r+r_x e_j} \\ &\qquad = (e_j + e_x|n + r + r_x e_j + \sigma)(n + r + r_x e_x + \sigma)\otimes q^{n+r+r_x e_x} \end{aligned}$$

so that $(e_j + e_x|n + r + r_x e_j + \sigma) \ne 0$ and again this yields that $(m+\sigma)\otimes q^m \in M_1$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. Hence W_1^σ is irreducible.

It remains to show that $F^\sigma(\omega_k)/\tilde{W}_k^\sigma$ is irreducible for $1 \le k \le N$. Let M be a submodule of $F^\sigma(\omega_k)$ which properly contains $\tilde{W}_k^\sigma$.

For $N \ge 2$ with $1 \le k \le N-1$, Lemma 5.2 shows that M contains a vector of the form $e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(n)$, with n such that $(e_x|n+\sigma) \ne 0$ for some $x \in \{1, \ldots, N+1\}$. For any $r \in \{e_x\}^\perp$,

$$D(e_x, r).e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(n) = (e_x|n+\sigma) e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(n+r).$$

Thus $e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(n+r) \in M$ for any $r \in \{e_x\}^\perp$. So for $a \notin \{x, y, i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &D(e_x - e_a, r_x(e_x + e_a)).e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(n + r - r_x e_a) \\ &\qquad = (e_x - e_a|n + r - r_x e_a + \sigma) e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(n + r + r_x e_x) \end{aligned}$$

If $(e_x - e_a|n + r - r_x e_a + \sigma) \ne 0$ this yields that $e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(m) \in M$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. If $(e_x - e_a|n + r - r_x e_a + \sigma) = 0$ apply instead $D(e_x + e_a, r_x(e_x - e_a))$ to $e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(n + r + r_x e_a)$ and obtain the same result.

Using the fact that $e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(m) \in M$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$, any vector of the form $e_{b_1} \wedge e_{b_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{b_k}(m)$ can be obtained by doing the following. To replace $e_j \in \{e_y, e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_{k-1}}\}$ with e_a, $a \notin \{y, i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1}\}$ in $e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(m)$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} &D(e_j, e_a).e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_j \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(m - e_a) \\ &= (e_j|m - e_a + \sigma) e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_j \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(m) + e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_a \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(m). \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right hand side is already in M which implies $e_y \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_a \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}(m) \in M$. This process of swapping out e_j's can be repeated until the desired vector is obtained. Vectors of the form $e_{b_1} \wedge e_{b_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{b_k}(m)$ form a basis for $V(\omega_k)(m)$, and thus M must be all of $F^\sigma(\omega_k)$. It follows that $F^\sigma(\omega_k)/\tilde{W}_k^\sigma$ is irreducible.

Consider the case $k = N$ for $N \geq 1$. M contains a nonzero vector $v(n)$, where $v(n) \notin \tilde{W}_N^\sigma$ such that $n+\sigma \neq 0$. Suppose $(e_x|n+\sigma) \neq 0$ for some $x \in \{1, \ldots, N+1\}$, and so $\{n+\sigma, e_1, \ldots, e_{x-1}, e_{x+1}, \ldots, e_{N+1}\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. From the definition of $\tilde{W}_N^\sigma$ it follows that $v(n)$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of $e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{x-1} \wedge e_{x+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{N+1}(n)$ plus some vector in $\tilde{W}_N^\sigma$. Assume without loss of generality that $v(n) = e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{x-1} \wedge e_{x+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{N+1}(n)$. Note that

$$V(\omega_N)(n) = \mathbb{C}v(n) \oplus (n+\sigma) \wedge \left(\bigwedge^{N-1} \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \right)(n)$$

and so $V(\omega_N)(n) \subset M$. For any $r \in \{e_x\}^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$, $D(e_x, r).v(n) = (e_x|n+\sigma)v(n+r)$, thus $v(n+r) \in M$. Since $(e_x|n+r+\sigma) = (e_x|n+\sigma) \neq 0$ it follows that

$$V(\omega_N)(n+r) = \mathbb{C}v(n+r) \oplus (n+r+\sigma) \wedge \left(\bigwedge^{N-1} \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \right)(n+r)$$

and so $V(\omega_N)(n+r) \subset M$ for any $r \in \{e_x\}^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. It remains to show that $V(\omega_N)(n+r+r_x e_x) \subset M$ for any $r_x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $j > x$, $r_x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, and $r \in \{e_x\}^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$. Then

$$D(e_j, r_x e_x).v_{\omega_N}(n+r) = (e_j|n+r+\sigma)v_{\omega_N}(n+r+r_x e_x),$$

where v_{ω_N} is a highest weight vector in $V(\omega_N)$. If $(e_j|n+r+\sigma) \neq 0$ then $v_{\omega_N}(n+r+r_x e_x) \in M$, and by the proof of Lemma 5.1 $V(\omega_N)(n+r+r_x e_x) \subset M$. Let v_ℓ be a lowest weight vector of $V(\omega_N)$, and $j < x$, then

$$D(e_j, r_x e_x).v_\ell(n+r) = (e_i|n+r+\sigma)v_\ell(n+r+r_x e_x).$$

If $(e_i|n+r+\sigma) \neq 0$ then $v_\ell(n+r+r_x e_x) \in M$. Again the proof of Lemma 5.1 shows how to generate all of $V(\omega_N)(n+r+r_x e_x)$, only this time the $y_p = E_{ij}$ where $i < j$ are applied to lowest weight vector $v_\ell(n+r+r_x e_x)$. Suppose for some $r \in \{e_x\}^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$, say $r = r_0$, that $(e_j|n+r_0+\sigma) = 0$ for all $j \neq x$; i.e. $(n+r_0+\sigma) = Ke_x \neq 0$. Then for $j \neq x$

$$\begin{aligned} &D(e_j - e_x, r_x(e_j + e_x)).v(n+r_0-r_x e_j) = Kv(n+r_0+r_x e_x) \\ &+ r_x e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j-1} \wedge e_x \wedge e_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{x-1} \wedge e_{x+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{N+1}(n+r_0+r_x e_x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(n+r_0+r_x e_x+\sigma)$ is a scalar multiple of e_x, the second term above is in $\tilde{W}_N^\sigma$, and thus $v(n+r_0+r_x e_x) \in M$. If $(e_x|n+r_0+r_x e_x+\sigma) \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} &V(\omega_N)(n+r_0+r_x e_x) \\ &\quad = \mathbb{C}v(n+r_0+r_x e_x) \oplus (n+r_0+r_x e_x+\sigma) \wedge \left(\bigwedge^{N-1} \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \right)(n+r_0+r_x e_x) \end{aligned}$$

and so $V(\omega_N)(n+r_0+r_x e_x) \subset M$. If $(e_x|n+r_0+r_x e_x+\sigma) = 0$, then $(n+r_0+r_x e_x+\sigma) = 0$, in which case $V(\omega_N)(n+r_0+r_x e_x) \subset \tilde{W}_N^\sigma \subset M$. Thus $V(\omega_N)(m) \subset M$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$ and so $M = F^\sigma(\omega_N)$. $\square$

6. Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Professor Yuly Billig for his expert guidance on this project.

References

[1] S. Billey, V. Lakshmibai, Singular Loci of Schubert Varieties, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000.
[2] S. Eswara Rao, Irreducible Representations of the Lie-Algebra of the Diffeomorphisms of a d-Dimensional Torus, J. Algebra 182 (1996), no. 2, 401ÂŰ421. MR1391590 (97f:17007)
[3] X. Guo and K. Zhao, Irreducible Weight Modules over Witt Algebras, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society (2011), Vol. 139, No. 7, 2367-2373. S0002-9939(2010)10679-2.
[4] A. N. Rudakov, Irreducible representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of Cartan type, Math. USSR Izv. 8 (1974), 836-866.
[5] G. Shen, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan Type. I. Mixed products of modules, Sci. Sinica Ser. A 29 (1986), No. 6, 570-581